

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, DOC 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/749,136	12/27/2000	Andreas Burgard	00/141 NUT	5012
7:	590 63.22.2002			
PROPAT, L.L.C.			EXAMINER	
2912 CROSBY ROAD CHARLOTTE, NC 28211			WONG, LESLIE A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A 9 - 1

Application No. 09/749,136

Applicant(s)

00,740

Burgard et al.

Examiner

Leslie Wong

Art Unit 1761



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

Office Action Summary

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>30 days</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)

 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply to Any reply received by the Office later than three months af earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ter the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any	
Status		
1). Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) X Th	nis action is non-final.	
	ance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims		
4) X. Claim(s) <u>1-9</u>	is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration	
5) . Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
6) G Claim(s)	is/are rejected.	
7) 🗔 Claim(s)	is/are objected to.	
8) 💢 Claims <u>1-9</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement	
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected to by the Examiner. is: a) approved b) disapproved.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1.: Certified copies of the priority document 2 Certified copies of the priority document		
application from the Internationa *See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not received.	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for don	nestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
Attachment(s)		
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18} Interview Summary (PT0-413) Paper No(s).	
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/749136 Page 2

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 1-6, drawn to a salt of a basic-reacting amino acid, classified in class 426, subclass 548.

Claims 7-9, drawn to process for preparing a compound, classified in class 560,
 subclass 40.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/749136 Page 3

Art Unit: 1761

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is (703) 308-1979. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761

LAW March 21, 2002